

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,515	09/12/2003	Ben Hsu	BHT-3125-162	5669
75	90 02/22/2005		EXAM	INER
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUR	RG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/660,515	HSU, BEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
<u></u>	Bruce A. Lev	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication iod for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tus		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 September 2003.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
sposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
plication Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 200	$03$ is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.
		BRUCE A. LEV PRIMARY EXAMINE
achment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other: \_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/660,515

Art Unit: 3634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an **abstract** of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Therefore, lines 6-15 of the abstract should be deleted.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As concerns claim 1, *ONLY* the "*retaining structure*" is being set forth as the invention within the preamble. However, the "folding blind" is positively set forth in lines 6-7 as part of the invention, "the retaining plate having an extending section *protruding outwards at the edge of the continuous folding blind*". "Adapted to be" language can be used to overcome this rejection, i.e., "the retaining plate having an extending section *adapted to* protrude outwards at the edge of the continuous folding blind".

Also as concerns claim 1, lines 9-17, the "discussion" language belongs in the specification and should be deleted from the claim.

Also as concerns claim 2, the use of the word "or" is improper. ONLY ONE of the limitations of "a fastening agent" or the "seamed thereto" should be set forth.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwai et al 5,342,687.

Iwai et al set forth a retaining structure (illustrated in Figure 4) having a retaining plate (inclusive of members 2a and 2b); and a flexible counterweight stick 1; and "can be" stuck onto a folding blind.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schumacher 5,158,127 in view of Iwai et al.

Schumacher sets forth a retaining structure 28 having a retaining plate, and can be stuck onto a folding blind. What Schumacher does not set forth is a flexible counterweight stick. However, Iwai et al teach forming a retaining plate as including a flexible counterweight stick. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the retaining structure of Schumacher by including a flexible counterweight stick, as taught by Iwai et al, in order to make the retaining plate more flexible and easier to removably attach it to the blind.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (703) 308-7470. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

February 14, 2005

Bruce A. Lev

Primary Examiner

Group 3600